

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	7
	09/489,515	01/21/2000	Surya Prakash	06618-408001	5938	_
	20985 75	05/30/2003				
	FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER		$\neg \mu$
	SUITE 500	A VILLAGE DRIVE		MERCADO,	JULIAN A	_
	SAN DIEGO, C	CA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	7
				1745		
				DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			97				
·u	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/489,515	PRAKASH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Julian A. Mercado	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory, a recommunication of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	<u> March 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal matt er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-26</u> is/are pending in the a							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	oor						
<ul><li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examir</li><li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according</li></ul>		e Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	5 - 1						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No.					
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E</li> </ol>	iority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	·						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			lioΠ).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language p</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/489,515

Art Unit: 1745

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remarks

This Office Action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed March 11, 2003.

Claims 1-6 and 8-26 are pending. (with claims 1-5 having been withdrawn from consideration)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 8 and 9 are presently dependent upon claim 7 (now canceled), which renders the claims indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cabasso et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,783,325)

Application/Control Number: 09/489,515

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 16 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cabasso et al. as applied to claims 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-22, 25 and 26 above.

Claims 10 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cabasso et al. as applied to claims 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-22, 25 and 26 above, in view of Kindler. (U.S. Pat. 5, 992,008)

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cabasso et al. as applied to claims 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17-22, 25 and 26 above, in view of Scherer et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,656,386)

The above rejections have been discussed in detail in a prior Office Action and will not be reiterated. The rejection(s) is maintained for the reasons of record and for the additional reasons to follow in view of applicant's present amendment and arguments for patentability therewith.

Independent claims 6, 11, 19 and 26 now recite via the present amendment "a membrane plasticizer" as part of the catalyst ink. Upon closer consideration of Cabasso, the patentees are found to teach or at least suggest this feature in column 7 line 64 et seq: "[s]uitable solvents for the polyvinylidene fluoride and carbon blend include... N,N-dimethyl acetamide ("DMA")", the solvent notably dissolving the polyvinylidene fluoride. The examiner notes that DMA is the same solvent disclosed by applicant as that which provides a plasticizing effect. Thus, the claims are anticipated, and applicant's argument that Cabasso does not include a membrane plasticizer is not found persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/489,515

Art Unit: 1745

Arguments against Kindler or Scherer appear to be directed to these references failing to remedy alleged differences between Cabasso and the present claims. However, in view of Cabasso being maintained for the reasons discussed above, the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection(s) in view of Kindler or Scherer is subsequently maintained for the reasons discussed in the previous Office Action.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian A. Mercado whose telephone number is (703) 305-0511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

jam May 29, 2003

Mallite Vice a